

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JONATHAN W. GRIGSBY, )  
11 Plaintiff(s), ) No. C 06-5494 CRB (PR)  
12 vs. ) ORDER  
13 M. CASTELLAN, et al., )  
14 Defendant(s). )  
15 \_\_\_\_\_ )  
16

17 Per order filed on February 26, 2007, the court dismissed without  
18 prejudice plaintiff's prisoner action for failure to exhaust available administrative  
19 remedies, as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a).

20 To the extent that plaintiff's various letters and requests filed since  
21 February 26, 2007 may be construed as a motion for reconsideration, they are  
22 DENIED for lack of merit. See Twentieth Century - Fox Film Corp. v.  
23 Dunnahoo, 637 F.2d 1338, 1341 (9th Cir. 1981) (motions for reconsideration are  
24 not a means of attacking some perceived error of the court). Plaintiff is free to  
25 refile a new action after exhausting California's prison administrative process.  
26 SO ORDERED.

27 DATED: March 29, 2007

28   
CHARLES R. BREYER  
United States District Judge